

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
(Northern Division)

CYNTHIA D. PAJAK,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNDER ARMOUR, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. _____

**DEFENDANTS UNDER ARMOUR, INC. AND UNDER ARMOUR RETAIL, INC.'S
AND NON-PARTY NICOLE FINCK'S
MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA ON MS. FINCK AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Defendants Under Armour, Inc. and Under Armour Retail, Inc. ("UA"), and Non-Party Nicole Finck, through their undersigned counsel, move pursuant to Rules 26 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for an Order quashing and/or limiting the subpoenas for documents and for deposition served on Ms. Finck, a UA employee and member of UA's legal team, by Plaintiff Cynthia D. Pajak in *Pajak v. Under Armour, Inc., et al.*, No. 1:19-CV-160 (N.D. W.Va.). The reasoning and authorities in support of UA's Motion are set forth in the accompanying memorandum, which is incorporated herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 7, 2021

/s/

Philip M. Andrews (Bar No. 00078)
Amy E. Askew (Bar No. 26709)
Justin A. Redd (Bar No. 18614)
KRAMON & GRAHAM, P.A.
One South Street, Suite 2600
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 752-6030

(410) 539-1269 (fax)
pandrews@kg-law.com
aaskew@kg-law.com
jredd@kg-law.com

*Attorneys for Under Armour, Inc.
and Under Armour Retail, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 7th day of May, 2021, copies of the foregoing document were served, by agreement of counsel, via electronic mail to counsel of record in No. 1:19-CV-160 (N.D. W.Va.):

Larry J. Rector
Allison B. Williams
STEPTOE & JOHNSON PLLC
400 White Oaks Boulevard
Bridgeport, West Virginia 26330
larry.rector@steptoe-johnson.com
allison.williams@steptoe-johnson.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Cynthia Pajak

Scott H. Kaminski
Ray, Winton & Kelley, PLLC
109 Capitol Street, Suite 700
Charleston, WV 25301
ScottKaminski@rwk-law.com

Counsel for Defendant Brian Boucher

Justin M. Harrison
Grace E. Hurney
Jackson Kelly PLLC
500 Lee Street, East, Suite 1600
Post Office Box 553
Charleston, West Virginia 25322
justin.harrison@jacksonkelly.com
grace.hurney@jacksonkelly.com

*Counsel for Defendants Under Armour, Inc.
and Under Armour Retail, Inc.*

/s/
Philip M. Andrews

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
LIST OF EXHIBITS	iii
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF UNDER ARMOUR, INC. AND UNDER ARMOUR RETAIL, INC.'S AND NON-PARTY NICOLE FINCK'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA ON MS. FINCK AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER.....	1
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Pajak Litigation Background	3
A. Plaintiff's Claims Against UA in her Original Complaint.....	3
1. January 2018.	4
2. April 2018.	5
3. November 2018.....	6
4. Plaintiff's Termination.	7
B. Plaintiff's Discovery to UA.	7
C. Relativity Fest 2019 and Plaintiff's Dilatory Disclosure of Ms. Finck as a Witness with Discoverable Information.....	8
D. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.	10
E. The March 4th Hearing and the Court's Order.	12
F. Ms. Finck's Objections and UA's Attempts To Resolve the Disputes Regarding the Subpoenas.....	13
III. Applicable Legal Standards	18
A. When Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena Is Required.....	18
B. Motion for a Protective Order	19
C. Choice of Law — West Virginia Privilege Law and Federal Work-Product Law Apply	21
IV. Argument	21
A. Plaintiff improperly seeks UA's privileged and protected information from Ms. Finck.	22

1. The Subpoenas improperly seek privileged and work-product protected material.	23
2. No privilege log should be required for post-litigation materials.....	25
B. Plaintiff has not and cannot prove the crime-fraud exception.....	27
C. Any deposition of Ms. Finck must be strictly limited to non-privileged and non-protected documents, topics, and time periods.	31
V. Conclusion	32
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 104.7.....	34
[PROPOSED] ORDER.....	36

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Ex.	ECF Suffix	Description
	1.	Memorandum of Law
	2.	Local Rule 104.7 Certificate
	3.	Proposed Order
A.	4.	N. Finck Objections to Subpoena w/Exs. 1-5 A.1. Subpoena <i>Duces Tecum</i> A.2. Plaintiff's Second Supp. Rule 26(a)(2) Disclosures A.3. G. Hurney email (Oct. 8, 2020) A.4. Boucher Depo. Excerpts (Nov. 20, 2020) A.5. Hearing Excerpts (Mar. 4, 2021)
B.	5.	Notice of Videotaped Deposition of Niki Finck
C.	6.	Pajak Deposition Excerpts
D.	7.	R.L. Deposition Excerpts
E.	8.	M.D. Deposition Excerpts
F.	9.	J.C. Declaration
G.	10.	M.M. Deposition Excerpts
H.	11.	UA 30(b)(6) Deposition Excerpts
I.	12.	Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Fact Witness Disclosures Regarding Plaintiff's Spoliation Claims
J.	13.	L. Rector email (Apr. 28, 2021 2:35 PM) forwarding email chain with N. Auerbach (Apr. 13-28, 2021), attaching ElevateNext email (Apr. 28, 2021 2:24 PM)
K.	14.	M. Lantz email (Apr. 28, 2021 3:42 PM), attaching Initial Disclosures
L.	15.	A. Williams ESI correspondence to UA's counsel (Dec. 17, 2019)
M.	16.	Plaintiff's Motion to Amend, N.D. W. Va. ECF Nos. 267 & 268
N.	17.	Brian Boucher's Responses to UA's Requests for Admission
O.	18.	J. Harrison email (Apr. 6, 2021)
P.	19.	Email chain "Pajak/Subpoenas - Time Sensitive" (Apr. 28, 2021)
Q.	20.	Email chain "RE: Pajak/Subpoenas - Time Sensitive" (Apr. 29, 2021)
R.	21.	L. Rector email "Order" (Apr. 30, 2021), attaching N.D. W. Va. ECF No. 251
S.	22.	A. Askew email "RE: Order" (May 3, 2021)
T.	23.	T. Cooper email "Pajak v. UA/Boucher - Finck Subpoena" (May 4, 2021)